PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT				
To: Meaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson Lip P.O. Box 70250 CARLAND, O. P4612: 0.2 MCIDNE 100 Lip ETATS-UNIS D'AMERICOU LIPO LIPS 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION				
000 MONTH OF THE PROPERTY OF T	PCT Rule 44.1) Date of mailing				
	(day/month/year) 07/05/2008				
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No. PCT/US2007/023564	International filing date (day/month/year) 08/11/2007				
Applicant					
IGT					
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the international Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filling of amendments and statement under Article 19; The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report. When? Directly to the international Search Report. If Centre 20, Switzerland, Faccommendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report. The applicant is nereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the infernational Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional Seq(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's requested to toward the tracks of both the protest and he decision thereon on as a decision that seem made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision in made. 4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 16 months from the priority date, the International application will be publication will be publication, and the international application, or of the priority dam, must reach the International application will be publication will be publication. An office of withdrawed of the international application, or of the priority dam, must reach the International publication. The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International preliminary scarminational Bureau as provided in the International application, or of the priority dails, but only in respect of some					
Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority European Patient Office, P.B. 5818 Patientiaan 2 NI-2290 HV Pilips 17-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fat. (431-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Ingo Meyer				

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patient Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative instructions under that Treaty, In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Quide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It is found however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application is found however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international perfluinting examination procedure, there is usually no need to the amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant ventris the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional profession or has another operations of the provisional profession or has another protections and available in some States only (see PCT Angularant's Guide. Volume IVA. Annexes B1 and B2).

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that amendments to the claims under Article 19 are not allowed where the international Searching Authority has declared, under Article 17(2), that no international search report would be established (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume I/A, paragraph 296).

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable. Article 41.

When? Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, which were true limit explies later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received or time if they are received by the international Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical presentations for international publication (Fully 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How? Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER		see Form PCT/ISA/220					
IGT1P268F.WO	ACTION		as, where applicable, item 5 below.					
International application No.	International filing date (day/mont	onth/year) (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year						
PCT/US2007/023564	08/11/2007	08/11/2007 09/11/2006						
Applicant								
IGT								
101								
This international search report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	This International search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.							
This international search report consists o	f a total of she	ts.						
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document of	ted in this	report.					
Basis of the report With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of:								
c. with regard to any nucleo	oude and/or amino acid sequence	disclosed	In the International application, see Box No. I.					
2. Certain claims were four	Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box No. II)							
3. Unity of invention is lack	king (see Box No III)							
4. With regard to the title,								
X the text is approved as sui								
the text has been establish	hed by this Authority to read as follo	WS:						
20								
1								
5. With regard to the abstract,								
= "	he text is approved as submitted by the applicant							
may, within one month from	the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority							
With regard to the drawings,	gard to the drawings,							
a. the figure of the drawings to be p	the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 8							
X as suggested by to	X as suggested by the applicant							
I =	this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure							
	Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention							
b none of the figures is to be published with the abstract								

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (April 2007)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No PCT/US2007/023564

INV.	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER G07F17/32		1			
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC						
	B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) G07F					
Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s	such documents are included in the fields s	earched			
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the International search (name of data ba	se and, where practical, search terms used	n)			
EPO-In	ternal, WPI Data					
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the reli	evant passages	Relevant to claim No.			
X	EP 1 298 604 A (INT GAME TECH [US]) 2 April 2003 (2003-04-02) the whole document		1-10			
X	US 2006/199640 A1 (EMORI KAZUKI [JP] ET AL) 7 September 2006 (2006-09-07) f1gures 1,5		1–10			
			4			
	· ·		*			
	· Y · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	*					
	La .	×				
	her documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	X See patent family annex.	α			
		T later document published after the inte or priority date and not in conflict with	the application but			
A coordinate demand use general state of the an which is not considered to be of particular relevance considered to be of particular relevance considered to be of particular relevance considered to be of particular relevance. *E* earlier document but published on or after the international control of particular relevances to a control of particular relevances.						
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or involve an inventive step when the do			be considered to curnent is taken alone			
citation or other special reason (as specified) Of document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or document is combined with one or more			ventive step when the ore other such docu-			
other means "ments, such combination being obvior Pt document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "8" document member of the same patent			·			
Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search			rch report			
2	4 April 2008	07/05/2008				
Name and r	nailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2	Authorized officer				
	NL - 2260 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Van Dop, Erik				

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No PCT/US2007/023564

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 1298604	A	02-04-2003	AU CA US	2923802 A 2404177 A 2003064804 A	1 28-03-2003
US 2006199640	A1	07-09-2006	JP	2006230525 A	A 07-09-2006

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US2007/023564 08.11.2007 09.11.2006 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV G07F17/32 Applicant IGT This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a

written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date. whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA

Date of completion of

this opinion

PCT/ISA/210

Authorized Officer

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentiage form NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Van Dop, Erik

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4504



Box	No.	Basis of the opinion					
With	rega	rd to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
×	the ir	sternational application in the language in which it was filed					
	a trar purpo	islation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the uses of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).					
	This of	opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))					
With nece	fith regard to any nucleotide andor amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and scessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
a. ty	pe of	material:					
	l a	sequence listing					
] ta	ble(s) related to the sequence listing					
b. fo	rmat	of material:					
	or	paper					
] in	electronic form					
c. tin	ne of	filing/furnishing:					
) co	ntained in the international application as filed.					
] file	ed together with the international application in electronic form.					
	l fu	rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
	has b copie	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto een filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as private, were furnished.					

5. Additional comments:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<u>7-10</u> <u>1-6</u>
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<u>1-10</u>
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<u>1-10</u>

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

- The following documents are cited in the search report:
 - D1: EP 1 298 604 A (INT GAME TECH [USI) 2 April 2003 (2003-04-02)
 - D2: US 2006/199640 A1 (EMORI KAZUKI [JP] ET AL) 7 September 2006 (2006-09-07)
- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, for the following reasons:

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) a gaming machine (10) comprising a cabinet (11); a processor situated in the cabinet (104); a display (19) mounted to the cabinet; a speaker (21) situated above a position associated with a head of the player and oriented at a downward angle (cf. figure 1), wherein the angle is less than 90 degrees with respect to the longitudinal axis of the cabinet (cf. figure 2).

Consequently, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 is not new.

Similarly, independent claim 1 is not novel with respect to document D2 (cf. figures 1 and 5).

Dependent claims 2-10 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT). Possible steps after receipt of the international search report (ISR) and written opinion of the International Searching Authority (WO-ISA)

General information

For all international applications filed on or after 01/01/2004 the competent ISA will establish an ISR. It is accompanied by the WO-ISA. Unlike the former written opinion of the IPEA (Rule 66.2 PCT), the WO-ISA is not meant to be responded to, but to be taken into consideration for further procedural steps. This document explains about the possibilities.

under Art 19 PCT

Amending claims Within 2 months after the date of mailing of the ISR and the WO-ISA the applicant may file amended claims under Art. 19 PCT directly with the International Bureau of WIPO. The PCT reform of 2004 did not change this procedure. For further information please see Rule 46 PCT as well as form PCT/ISA/220 and the corresponding Notes to form PCT/ISA/220.

Filing a demand for international preliminary examination

In principle, the WO-ISA will be considered as the written opinion of the IPEA. This should, in many cases, make it unnecessary to file a demand for international preliminary examination. If the applicant nevertheless wishes to file a demand this must be done before expiry of 3 months after the date of mailing of the ISR/WO-ISA or 22 months after priority date, whichever expires later (Rule 54bis PCT), Amendments under Art. 34 PCT can be filed with the IPEA as before, normally at the same time as filing the demand (Rule 66.1 (b) PCT).

If a demand for international preliminary examination is filed and no comments/amendments have been received the WO-ISA will be transformed by the IPEA into an IPRP (International Preliminary Report on Patentability) which would merely reflect the content of the WO-ISA. The demand can still be withdrawn (Art. 37 PCT).

Filing informal comments

After receipt of the ISP/WO-ISA the applicant may file informal comments on the WO-ISA directly with the International Bureau of WIPO. These will be communicated to the designated Offices together with the IPRP (International Preliminary Report on Patentability) at 30 months from the priority date. Please also refer to the next box.

End of the international phase

At the end of the international phase the International Bureau of WIPO will transform the WO-ISA or, if a demand was filed, the written opinion of the IPEA into the IPRP, which will then be transmitted together with possible informal comments to the designated Offices. The IPRP replaces the former IPER (international preliminary examination report).

Relevant PCT Rules and more information

Rule 43 PCT, Rule 43bis PCT, Rule 44 PCT, Rule 44bis PCT, PCT Newsletter 12/2003, QJ 11/2003, QJ 12/2003